Comparative evaluation of leadership models

Prof. Bismark Agbemble

Liberty University

Comparative evaluation of leadership models

Introduction

Leadership is one of the most fundamental aspects of human society, serving as a regulatory function for decision-making and setting organizational dynamics (Sarla, 2020). From time immemorial up to the present, various leaders have emerged with different styles, approaches, and, above all, legacies. This paper will focus on prominent biblical leaders like Jesus, David, and Moses. The discussion will draw from their leadership models, from which general contemporary leadership shall be applied. It seeks to make an applicable lesson that can help define and give meaning to current leadership practices by appraising their distinctive approaches and shortcomings. Beyond the constraints, it examines Christians' challenges in integrating their faith with their professions and what they portend for ethical decision-making and effective leadership.

Biblical Leadership Models

1.   Jesus: The Servant Leader

Jesus' leadership style was that of servant leadership—a model that enacts the leader taking care of others' needs, serving without consideration of one's own needs, and promoting individual strength for accomplishment. The model is in sharp contrast to the traditional notion of leadership that is greatly synonymous with power and authority, qualities which Jesus did not possess as he had occasion with his disciples and the general multitude. Jesus, therefore, leads by

example, washing his disciples' feet to show how it is important to serve others and how good leaders should, in such ways, put the needs of their followers in front of theirs (John 13:1-17).

Specialized leadership approaches of Jesus

a)   Servant Leadership

Jesus was unendingly critical of hierarchical leadership because he believed authentic leadership was more about service and humility than ever before. In Matthew 20:26-28, Jesus is evident: "Whoever wants to become great among you must be your servant, and whoever wants to be first must be your slave—just as the Son of Man did not come to be served, but to serve, and to give his life as a ransom for many." Most acts and teachings associated with Jesus emphasize service and selfless care of others as true greatness.

b)   Compassion

Jesus had deep feelings for all those he met, especially those living on the margins and under oppression. He healed the sick and fed the hungry, reaching out to the outcasts; he had feelings for his neighbours' earthly and spiritual health. The surroundings bring the clear scripture: "When he saw the crowds, he was moved with compassion for them, because they were harassed and helpless, like sheep without a shepherd". His heartful response towards such compassion was actions that would bring encouragement to many, who would follow it up with acts of kindness and mercy to the suffering humanity.

c)   Enabling Mission

He had given both permissions to accomplish his mission and pronounce his message of love and redemption, which was paramount and essential to the disciples and followers. He spent time teaching, mentoring, and equipping for ministry—to impart the ability to trust and become courageous in their gifting. Jesus, in his ascension into heaven, gave them one final charge of

going out to all nations and making disciples out of the people he left behind, with the assurance that he will always be with them (Matthew 28:18-20). They were, therefore, empowered to move throughout the City, witnessing Jesus and forming home congregations.

Jesus' challenges as a leader

Although Jesus's leadership was undoubtedly perfect, his ministry faced some challenges and disappointments. One of the manifold challenges was that religious authorities and leaders mostly rejected and opposed his teachings due to the impact that such a level of influence and respect might engender regarding removing them from positions of power and authority. This radical message of love, forgiveness, and inclusion that Jesus preached often led him into confrontation, avoidance of defence, and provocation by those holding on to their religious traditions and social arrangements. Moments of personal struggles and doubts were also the lot that bedevilled Jesus, particularly in an agonizing moment of prayer at the Garden of Gethsemane just before he was crucified. Matthew 26:39 says, "He fell with his face to the ground and prayed, 'My Father if it is possible, may this cup be taken from me. Yet not as I will, but as you will." At that point of vulnerability in Jesus' life, we understand the weight he had assumed on himself to go through with that sacrificial mission that was laid upon him.

Current-day lessons learnt from Jesus' leadership model

1. Input into people's lives while serving them. The above verse underlines Jesus' servant-leadership. Modern leaders can learn from this example of servant leadership how to make others' needs their top priority, foster a culture of humility and teamwork, and authentically lead with integrity. When the current leaders serve selflessly their teams and communities, they build confidence in their support, inspire loyalties, and enable environments where people thrive and realize their potential to the fullest.

2. The Jesus-led model of compassion is one design that reminds current leaders about empathy and kindness, helping them understand the sensitive social nature of their leadership. This act shows sincere concern for the welfare of other people in fostering a culture of compassionate inclusiveness where members are valued, supported, and given the power to make outstanding contributions to society.

3. When established by Jesus among his disciples, empowerment gives a contemporary leader the value of investing in others, equipping them with skills, resources, and opportunities to succeed. It then goes on to empower its team and stakeholders by encouraging creativity, innovation, and collaboration in a natural way to drive organizational growth and sustainable change.

Now, the leadership model of Jesus serves as an inspiration to show contemporary leaders a possible way to make a difference within their organizations and communities. Through servant leadership, compassionate motivation, and empowerment, leaders can nurture trusting, growing, and empowering environments, modelling this perfect example from the ultimate servant leader—Jesus Christ.

1. David's Leadership

Strengths

David was, without a doubt, a very gallant leader throughout his lifetime. He had fought and won a giant named Goliath (1 Samuel 17). His insurmountable courage and readiness to meet overwhelming challenges head-on automatically inspired confidence, hence neutralizing all the obstacles he faced.

Although David had many setbacks and hardships, such as persecution by King Saul and turmoil among family members, David remained patient and hardworking. He had never lost

faith and the sense of devotion to that calling from the divine, which marked him as the elected king of Israel.

David was a great strategist in both military and political activities. Through trickery of wars and coalitions, he acquired Israeli territory and further established his throne as the king. His maneuverings through the intricate geopolitics fostered the stability and prosperity of this great Israel. WEAKNESSES

Despite such eminent qualities, David had weaknesses that portrayed his leadership badly. The most common is his adulterous issue with Bathsheba and the murder case of Uriah, Bathsheba's husband (2 Samuel 11). Such gross betrayal and abuse of power revealed David's weaknesses in vulnerability to temptation.

His leadership was characterized by impulsivity and lack of self-discipline in several moments. Thus, at some point, he made a rash decision to conduct a census against the will of God (2 Samuel 24), whereby he inadvertently brought penalties upon his nation through overconfidence and a need for more humility and reliance on divine guidance.

David's specialized approaches

i.   Courage

For example, David was found to be quite courageous enough during his encounter with the giant Goliath since he was not using military capabilities but the power of faith in God that would make him win. His courage here also inspired his army, and it proved to be a great morale booster for them.

ii.   Resilient

Despite innumerable tests and trials, including betrayal by his son Absalom and an internal rebellion in his court, David remained resilient. He stayed put to accomplish his God-sent mission as king. His ability to face adversity and bounce back from failure demonstrated resilience at its best level and faith in God.

Strategic thinking

He used his strategic thinking in all areas of military activity and diplomacy. He allied himself with various neighbors, namely Tyre and Moab, to strengthen Israel's position and better expand its influence in the area. Through deft political moves and military tactics, he had consequently installed Israel as the significant power in the ancient Near East.

David's failures and moral lapses as a leader

David's most celebrated failed leadership was his adulterous affair with Bathsheba and the murder of Uriah. It was not only a moral blunder involving the sanctity of marriage but also an incident that betrayed his subjects' trust as well as brought shame to the Nation of Israel. Moreover, the fact that David could not discipline his sons and maintain order in his household brought out internal disharmony and political instability, which culminated in his sons' rebellion against Absalom and loss of life tragically during the civil war. Leadership lesson learned from David's journey and redemption:

Though morally, he was weak, his willingness to confess his sins, ask forgiveness, and turn from his deviant way of life before God has set an extreme example for today's leaders in humility and accountability. David's appeal to God in Psalm 51 for compassion shows remorse over his offence and a plea for spiritual cleansing, the hallmark of uprightness and moral integrity in leadership. This whole journey of leadership that David took on spotlights the essential qualities of honesty, transparency, and an ethical approach to discharging duties when in

a position of control. To be sure, leaders always hold moral responsibilities in those positions and are examples to others, thus increasing credibility and reliability among followers. David's failure to maintain these standards is one of moral compromise and ethical disharmony in leadership.

Yet, he found grace and forgiveness from God, for he was referred to as a man after the heart of God (1 Samuel 13:14). Sure enough, his story of penance and renovation does witness to the transformative nature of faith and mercy, giving hope and inspiration to leaders who may have fallen but are seeking restoration with God and others.

David's leadership journey is, to a great extent, very colourful, with an exciting mix of strengths and weaknesses, triumphs and failures. Although he is admired as an adventurous leader with strategic sagacity, his moral failings were then a challenge to the sinful nature that man has inherent in him, which can only be checked by modesty, righteousness, and responsibility. David's successes and weaknesses illustrate contemporary leaders trying to emulate his virtues and avoid pitfalls.

Moses' Transformational Leadership

Charismatic leader in the Bible, Moses led the Israelites across social, political, and religious changes. His leadership reflected moral justice and faith-based visions with determination and courage in actual implementation. Such a vision successfully could mobilize and incite the people of Israel from the enslaved state they were under in Egypt and on to the Promised Land. His leadership was marked by courage, determination, and total dedication to the commandments of God.

Moses' specialized leadership approaches

Moses spoke directly to the Israelites regarding freedom and deliverance in a manner that he might have provided them with a vision of just what life could hold following bondage and oppression. He based his vision on God's promise of deliverance and making a covenant relationship with the community of Israelites. By communicating his vision with confidence, Moses gave the people hope and resilience as they might have decided to endure whatever travails they experienced.

Moreover, he was full of charisma and presence that would strike any Israelite with a sense of awe and authority. His experiences of God granted him an aura of divine mission, and therefore, his leadership was entirely of divine sovereignty. Though unwilling to lead at first, Moses developed into a charismatic figure who, with his pragmatic reasoning, persuaded the Israelites to lend their ears and be with him.

He also allowed them to exercise authority in their own right, be collectively responsible for their action, and be inclusive in making choices. He put leaders from the Israelite community he was governing in place with decision powers about some problems arising, thus delegating some leadership duties to put people in positions where they could practice various talents or gifts towards the collective project.

Moses' failures and challenges in leadership

However, even with such a strong leadership background, Moses did experience many problems and failures while in leadership. One major one was the repetitive nature of the Israelites to go against or have disobedience and rebellion against God's commands, leading to times of frustration and disappointment for Moses. For instance, the gold calf incident showed much of the difficulties he had in maintaining unity and moral integrity within the people when they suddenly began worshipping a golden idol whilst he was away (Exodus 32).

Further yet, such human weaknesses as the moments of doubt and impatience clouded Moses' judgment and effectiveness in leadership. Examples are such when Moses was confronted by the constant complaints and grumblings of the Israelites in the wilderness; he, at times, lost his cool, leading him into mere rashness like striking the rock to bring forth water instead of speaking to it as God had commanded, thus bringing consequences into his leadership (Numbers 20:7-12). Common lessons, therefore, draw the experiences of Moses as a leader, including:

However, Moses' devotion to aiding the vision and purpose of God is a clear one for present-day leaders that compelling visions are powerful drivers. His divinely bestowed calling illustrates how authenticity, presence, and charisma accompany transformational power to mobilize followers to change. This further epitomizes the approach Moses held in high regard as central to effective leadership: empowerment and inclusion and the diversity of perspectives and contributions an organization or community can have.

He also had moments of doubt and failure, but through it all, he could rectify his mistakes, ask for forgiveness, and still lead the children of Israelites to where they were meant to go. Modern leaders can emulate humility by acknowledging that certain things are beyond their ability, seeking feedback, and remaining open for growth and transformation.

His journey offers timeless lessons and value for contemporary leaders struggling with new arenas of complexities or trying to inspire change. Only through a practical understanding of visionary leadership, charismatic influence, empowerment, and resilience can the leader be sure to guide an institution or community to a future reminiscent of the kind Moses pushed forward with his transformational styles.

Comparative Analysis

Comparison of biblical leadership models with contemporary leadership theories:

1. Transformational Leadership

He epitomizes a transformational leader when he encourages and instigates the collective vision for freedom and the land of promise for every Israelite. His leadership style reflects individualized consideration and intellectual stimulation to motivate every follower individually toward goal accomplishment, encouraging innovation in carrying out those tasks in all dimensions (Bakker et al., 2023). Transformational leadership theory embraces a leader's ability to inspire and uplift followers in helping bring innovation, creativity, and positive change within an organization (Deng et al., 2023). Such leadership would be most responsive because leaders are charismatic, have vision, and empower their subordinates; they can evoke the highest level of commitment from their followers and make them highly engaged with work.

2. Servant Leadership

Servant leadership theory puts more emphasis on the notion that a leader is a servant who owes his followers elements of joint prosperity, empathy, moral choices, and the discretionary spirit in order to serve and support any need of the people. First of all, servant leadership positively supports the individual health and development of the workers, which in turn allows for a minimum level of loyalty and trust in an organization (Pawar et al., 2020). In this regard, Jesus is a true epitome of a servant leader: humble, empathetic to people, he often shows his sacrificing love for the disciples and followers. He prioritizes the needs of others, leading by example and empowering his followers to serve one another.

3. Charismatic Leadership

Charismatic leadership theory mostly revolves around a leader's ability to influence and inspire others through their charismatic, charming, and visionary powers (Wivel & Grøn, 2021). The ability of the charismatic leader to mobilize or sway audiences into visions or some cause lies in their eloquence with words and charm. An illustration of charismatic leaders is seen in David, who illustrates the charisma leadership by his example on three counts courage, resilience, and strategizing. Besides, his magnetic personality and ability to inspire loyalty and devotion among his followers add to his leadership success.

4. Situational Leadership

Situational leadership theory insists that leadership should be effective, contingent on altering or adapting one's style to the unique needs and requirements of any situation due to the developmental levels of the followers (Wuryani et al., 2021). The style strongly encourages flexible leadership that responds to its followers' maturity and level of competence and the demands presented by the situation at hand (Ahern & Loh, 2021). Although situational leadership theory is not portrayed directly through any specific biblical leader, some of the elements of situational leadership in several biblical narratives are there. One example is Moses' leadership with the Israelites through the wilderness, in which he was adaptable and flexible in his methods and strategies, though always adjusting to meet the needs arising along the way. He once again best illustrates situational awareness and his adaptability in communication with different persons and groups, changing his speech, examples, or way of deed per the needs and circumstances of every concrete addressee.

5. Transactional Leadership

Transactional leadership theory accentuates the relationship of exchange that exists between leaders and followers based on the use of rewards and punishments to motivate and influence behaviour. In general, transactional leadership perspectives hold that leaders maintain clear definitions and expectations for followers or subordinates regarding their roles, expectations, goals, and objectives and that they reward compliance and effective performance while addressing deviations through corrective action. Although transactional leadership has not been associated with biblical models of leadership, some elements of transactions transactional leadership might be evidenced in some of the biblical stories. For example, King Solomon's administration in terms of justice and governance in ancient Israel represents the ideals of transactional leadership, wherein he establishes laws, rules, and systems of reward and punishment to maintain an organized state of affairs and ensure things are done according to his liking within his kingdom.

6. Authentic Leadership

Authentic leadership theory emphasizes true self-awareness, transparency, and integrity in leadership (Gardner et al., 2021). Authentic leaders are true to their values, beliefs, and convictions. They do so with authenticity and integrity at the core of all their relationships with others. Although a concept such as "authentic leadership" may not specifically be referred to in such a manner within the terms of Holy Scripture, biblically-based leadership is itself authentic in quality. For example, the letters that Paul wrote to the early Christian communities talk about leadership that is authentic, humble, and full of integrity. He goes even further and advises the church leaders to lead by example, to be transparent and honest in their actions and words, and to strive to enhance the well-being and spiritual growth of the congregation rather than run after all self-serving ambition and gain.

7. Ethical Leadership

This theory, on the other hand, illustrates that the essence of leadership has roots in morality and how moralistic the leaders become in making decisions. Ethical leaders are those who value moral issues and honesty, fairness, and accountability to any third party or a body monitoring their actions and how they make decisions (AlShehhi et al., 2020). Moreover, although the ethical leadership principles are not spelt out clearly as such in the biblical texts, ethical leadership is illustrated through the biblical leaders themselves, who practiced devotion to moral standards and divine precepts. For example, the ethical qualities of a leader were exhibited through Joseph's rejection of the temptations that were laid before him, sticking to the path of righteousness and integrity when found in the face of adversity and injustice. All these are examples of ethical leadership in the face of moral challenges and societal pull. Similarly, prophet Daniel's unwavering loyalty to God's commandments and commitment to truth and justice present some examples of leaders who were ethical in view of the moral challenges and pulls society presented.

Similarities

- For example, amongst these biblical and modern approaches to leadership, there is the common element of effectiveness in leaders needing to be vision-inspiring and empowering with respect to guiding and motivating their followers.

- Both indicate the importance of good ethical practices in leadership to establish trust and credibility with followers, and both include integrity and humility as major components.

- Both have the same principles of applying servant leadership styles, such as empathy, compassion, and being selfless unto others to foster good relationships and contribute positively towards their success.

Differences

- Biblical leadership models are often based on divine guidance, belief in faith, and spiritual authority, which might lack secular leadership theories.

- Modern leadership theories, in this case, might rely more on empirical research and psychological frameworks that explain the effectiveness of leadership, whereas biblical models emanate with their theories from teachings and stories found in religion.

- In current, contemporary leadership perspectives, it is more about tending toward personal and individualistic goals and outcomes, whereas biblical leadership is clearly related to communal ethics and a good moral conscience.

Exploration of the enduring relevance of biblical leadership principles in today's organizational contexts

Some of the traditional biblical principles of leadership have applicability to contemporary organisational contexts because the same contain universal truths capable of application to all times. They emphasise modern theories of effective leadership in areas such as charismatic leadership, types of transformational leadership, servant leadership, and leading with ethics, vision, and empowerment that collectively drive organisational success. Moreover, focusing on such values as integrity, humility, and compassion easily correspond to the concerns of contemporary society for ethical leadership and responsibilities to resources. Incorporating biblical principles of leadership in contemporary best practices will assist modern leaders in structuring a more solid organisational culture with the help of purpose, integrity, and service to others as a basis.

Applying Faith at Work

The challenges confronted by Christians applying their belief systems while at work get

However, besides the challenge caused by an organisational culture that promotes misalignment with some personal faith values, many Christians find it hard to reconcile themselves with the policies and practices that contradict biblical teaching, leaving a responsible team member in ethical conflict to uphold integrity and at the same time revere religious convictions.

It could also influence them religiously or show partiality in their place of work, where all their equal opportunities are either excluded or socialised, even in a very subtle way.

Moreover, other Christians as well, they would be cautious about manifesting their faith at their workplaces because of various negative repercussions, like not being favoured for promotions or the annoyance of workmates or their employers who belonged to a different faith.

Possible conflicts between biblical teachings and secular leadership practices

Secular leadership may put more emphasis on profitability, competition, and efficiency rather than on biblical principles such as honesty, integrity, and compassion. More problems arise when the missions conflict with personal convictions, hence creating tension in the leaders between biblical doctrine and professional expectations (Long, 2022).

Secular models of leadership show an individual attainment and autonomous focus that contrasts sharply with biblical values that stress community, service, and selflessness. Another area of conflict may be focused on how leaders often have a self-ambitious focus over the needs of their team or community, hence promoting splits between secular leadership practices and biblical teachings.

Such successful measures, therefore, or very different measuring systems like biblical values encouraging spiritual growth, moral integrity, and relational harmony, may be where the problem of tension lies in deceptive secular leadership. At such times, Christians feel disillusioned and

dissatisfied, too, because they see themselves as failing to balance between the spiritual and the material.

Strategies for integrating faith-based principles into leadership roles while respecting organizational norms and diversity

Christian leaders may practice principles of faith in their leadership when they live their lives with integrity, humility, and compassion in their actions and decision-making. Conducting themselves as Christ would have done, they gain the trust, respect, and loyalty of all the followers.

Such environments should also be created in appreciation and celebration of differences that emanate from beliefs and perception diversities. When a leader values and affirms the contributions made by all members independently, irrespective of their religious affiliations, he helps teach a mutual culture of both respect and collaboration.

They may seek help and advice on how best to go around dilemmas they experience in workplace relations from a mentor, spiritual advisor, or fellow believers. They seek biblical wisdom and principles by which they would make decisions guided by faith in accordance with organizational norms and expectations.

Lastly, in Christian leadership, a good way to integrate prayer and reflection is that one should ensure that all the processes of leadership are pervaded with divine guidance and wisdom. He may, therefore, lead out of a sense of providence and sovereignty before God. This is the reason why it becomes important for them to establish spiritual disciplines and thereby seek direction from God so that they can lead in confidence and humility.

Conclusion

Summarized, these findings converge as sound evidence that the integration of faith-based principles into leadership roles requires a wise way of working through challenges and tensions between biblical values and secular practices. These offer Christian leaders the opportunity to integrate their faith into relevance within a professional context—by deferring to organizational norms and diversity that is created through an integrated Christ-centred lifestyle through integrity, creating inclusive environments, making efforts to consult and share, and prioritizing spiritual disciplines.

The challenges and opportunities that result from Christian leader integration then come to these points after all is said and done. This work has, therefore, dealt with the complexities pertaining to achieving a balance between biblical precepts and earthly leadership practices in a way that leaves the invariable relevance of faith-based values within current organizational realities unquestioned.

Some of the leadership issues of Christian leaders include value misalignment in most of the workplace's culture, discrimination, and fear of reprisal within the professional setting. Furthermore, biblical values can at many times, come into confrontation with the values which most people practice in most secular leadership situations, creating an explosive potential for an ethical crisis between personal conviction and professional practice.

But amidst all these challenges, ample opportunities do exist for Christian leaders to positively contribute and unleash their ethical, humble, and compassionate leadership abilities. By setting Christ-like examples, being inclusive, seeking direction and support, praying and reflecting, Christian leaders can incorporate faith into their leadership services without disrespecting organizational norms and diversities.

Hence, faith integration is not a way of imposing one's beliefs on others but a genuine and ethical way of living one's faith full-time in all aspects of life in the workplace. It is from principles and values lived that faith-based Christian leaders can command trust, respect, and loyalty among their followers and team members and can best sustain any corporation with a culture of good order built on mutual respect and empathy.

References

Ahern, S., & Loh, E. (2021). Leadership during the COVID-19 pandemic: building and

    sustaining trust in times of uncertainty. *BMJ Leader*, *5*(4).

AlShehhi, H., Alshurideh, M., Kurdi, B. A., & Salloum, S. A. (2020, September). The impact of

    ethical leadership on employees performance: A systematic review. In *International*

    conference on advanced intelligent systems and informatics (pp. 417-426). Cham:

    Springer International Publishing.

Bakker, A. B., Hetland, J., Olsen, O. K., & Espevik, R. (2023). Daily transformational

    leadership: A source of inspiration for follower performance?. *European Management*

    Journal, *41*(5), 700-708.

Bans-Akutey, A. (2021). The path-goal theory of leadership. *Academia Letters*, *2*.

Deng, C., Gulseren, D., Isola, C., Grocutt, K., & Turner, N. (2023). Transformational leadership

    effectiveness: an evidence-based primer. *Human Resource Development*

    International, *26*(5), 627-641.

Gardner, W. L., Karam, E. P., Alvesson, M., & Einola, K. (2021). Authentic leadership theory:

    The case for and against. *The Leadership Quarterly*, *32*(6), 101495.

Joseph, J., & Gaba, V. (2020). Organizational structure, information processing, and decision-

    making: A retrospective and road map for research. *Academy of Management*

    Annals, *14*(1), 267-302.

Long, E. C. (2022). *Faith at work: People, places, practices, and tools* (Doctoral dissertation,

    The Chicago School of Professional Psychology).

Pawar, A., Sudan, K., Satini, S., & Sunarsi, D. (2020). Organizational servant leadership. *International Journal of Educational Administration, Management, and Leadership*, 63-76.

Saha, R., Shashi, Cerchione, R., Singh, R., & Dahiya, R. (2020). Effect of ethical leadership and corporate social responsibility on firm performance: A systematic review. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, *27*(2), 409-429.

Sarla, G. S. (2020). Leadership Lessons. *NOLEGEIN-Journal of Leadership & Strategic Management*, *3*(2), 1-5.

Wivel, A., & Grøn, C. H. (2021). Charismatic leadership in foreign policy. *International affairs*, *97*(2), 365-383.

Wuryani, E., Rodlib, A., Sutarsib, S., Dewib, N., & Arifb, D. (2021). Analysis of decision support system on situational leadership styles on work motivation and employee performance. *Management Science Letters*, *11*(2), 365-372.